## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 OECD P 15967 01 OF 02 291315Z

47

**ACTION EUR-25** 

INFO OCT-01 EA-11 IO-14 ISO-00 AID-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00

COME-00 EB-11 FRB-02 INR-10 NEA-14 NSAE-00 RSC-01

OPIC-12 SP-03 TRSE-00 CIEP-02 LAB-06 SIL-01 SWF-02

OMB-01 AGR-20 DRC-01 /159 W

----- 08648

R 291256Z JUN 74

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC 3148

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY HELSINKI

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION NATO

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION USUN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 OECD P 15967 01 OF 02 291315Z

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 01 OF 02 OECD PARIS 15967

E.O. 11652: N/A
TAGS: ETRD, OECD
SUBJECT: OECD TRADE COMMITTEE (TC) DISCUSSION OF

**EXPORT CONTROLS JUNE 25-26** 

L. SUMMARY. TC DISCUSSION OF EXPORT CONTROLS WAS TREATED AS AN EXTENSION OF EARLIER INFORMAL DISCUSSION OF COMMODITY TRADE PROBLEMS (SEPTEL). DELS WERE UNANIMOUS IN RECOGNIZING EXPORT CONTROLS AS TRADE POLICY PROBLEM WORTHY OF PRIORITY ATTENTION BY OECD. ONLY SERIOUS POINT OF CONTENTION WAS THAT MORE RETICENT DELS (CANADA, AUSTRALIA, EC COMMISSION) WISHED TO LIMIT WORK AT THIS STAGE TO ANALYTICAL EXERCISE WHEREAS SOME OTHERS (US, FRG, JAPAN) URGED THAT TCWP PUSH FURTHER TOWARD CONCLUSIONS INCLUDING POSSIBLE PRINCIPLES OR GUIDELINES THAT MIGHT BE CONSIDERED BY TC AT A SUBSEQUENT MEETING. TC UNANIMOUSLY AGREED THAT ANY NEGOTIATIONS ON THIS SUBJECT SHOULD TAKE PLACE IN MTN AND NOT OECD. END SUMMARY

2. US DEL (GLITMAN) OUTLINED CURRENT US THINKING ON EXPORT CONTROLS IN FOLLOWING WAY: (A) US STRONGLY SUPPORTS RECOMMENDATION OF XCSS THAT TC GIVE HIGH PRIORITY TO WORK ON EXPORT CONTROLS. ALTHOUGH EXPORT CONTROLS MAY SOMETIMES BE JUSTIFIED. THEY REPRESENT A DEVIATION FROM MARKET ORIENTED TRADE WHICH CAN DISTORT THE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES, PRE-VENT COUNTRIES FROM REAPING THE FULL BENEFITS OF A LIBERAL TRADE SYSTEM AND LEAD TO INTERNATIONAL DIS-AGREEMENTS. US BELIEVES A MORE EFFECTIVE INTERNA-TIONAL DISCIPLINE ON THE USE OF EXPORT RESTRICTIONS FOR ECONOMIC PURPOSES WOULD BE DESIRABLE. WE DO NOT SEE THIS AS AN ISSUE BETWEEN DEVELOPED AND DEVELOPING COUNTRIES, BUT AS ONE WHICH GENERALLY RAISES QUESTIONS ABOUT FUTURE FRAMEWORK AND CONDUCT OF WORLD TRADE. WE BELIEVE OECD COULD DO VALUABLE WORK IN TRYING TO DEVELOP A CONSENSUS AMONG MEMBER COUNTRIES ON THE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 OECD P 15967 01 OF 02 291315Z

ISSUES INVOLVED AND POSSIBLE MEANS FOR DEALING WITH THEM. ANY NEGOTIATIONS IN THIS FIELD WOULD, OF COURSE, TAKE PLACE IN MTN.

(B) A REASONABLE WORK PROGRAM FOR TCWP AND SECRETARIAT WOULD CONTAIN FOLLOWING ELEMENTS: I) ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION CONTAINED IN EXPORT CONTROL INVENTORY TO GET IDEA OF SIGNIFICANCE OF EXPORT CONTROLS IN RECENT

YEARS AND MOST COMMON TYPE OF ACTIONS AND JUSTIFICATIONS; II) DEVELOPMENT OF AN ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK FOR EVALUATING THE EFFECT OF EXPORT CONTROLS ON TRADE, INFLATION AND OTHER ECONOMIC VARIABLES. ANALYSIS SHOULD ALSO COMPARE EXPORT AND IMPORT CONTROLS AND DISTINGUISH BETWEEN THE EFFECTS OF VARIOUS CONTROL TECHNIQUES; III) DISCUSSION OF PRINCIPLES WHICH MIGHT GOVERN USE OF EXPORT CONTROLS FOR ECONOMIC PURPOSES. A FIRST STEP WOULD BE TO DEFINE THE ISSUES WHICH WOULD HAVE TO BE RESOLVED.

3. REACTION TO US SUGGESTIONS WAS SIMILAR TO THAT IN EARLIER DISCUSSION OF COMMODITY TRADE PROBLEMS. ALL DELS WERE WILLING TO PURSUE FURTHER ANALYTICAL WORK ALONG LINES SUGGESTED BY US BUT, WITH NOTABLE EXCEPTIONS OF FRG AND JAPANESE, MOST OTHERS THOUGHT IT PREMATURE FOR TCWP TO CONSIDER CONCLUSIONS, PRINCIPLES OR GUIDELINES. TC AGREED TO FOLLOWING CONCLUSIONS AT END OF DISCUSSION:

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 OECD P 15967 02 OF 02 291312Z

47

**ACTION EUR-25** 

INFO OCT-01 EA-11 IO-14 ISO-00 AID-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00

COME-00 EB-11 FRB-02 INR-10 NEA-14 NSAE-00 RSC-01

OPIC-12 SP-03 TRSE-00 CIEP-02 LAB-06 SIL-01 SWF-02

OMB-01 AGR-20 DRC-01 /159 W

----- 086483

R 291256Z JUN 74

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC 3149

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY HELSINKI

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION NATO

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION USUN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 OECD P 15967 02 OF 02 291312Z

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 02 OF 02 OECD PARIS 15967

"IN CONCLUSION THE COMMITTEE DECIDED TO GIVE HIGH PRIORITY TO THE CONSIDERATION OF THE PROBLEMS OF EXPORT CONTROLS. IT REQUESTED ITS WORKING PARTY TO COMPLETE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, ON THE BASIS OF ITS EXISTING MANDATE AND TAKING DUE ACCOUNT OF THE COMMITTEE'S DISCUSSIONS, ITS ANALYTICAL STUDY ON THIS SUBJECT PAYING PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO THE MOTIVATION FOR TAKING CONTROL MEASURES AND THEIR IMPACT ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE RELATIONS, AND TO CONSIDER THE INTERPLAY OF EXISTING INTERNATIONAL PROVISIONS IN RESPECT OF EXPORT CONTROLS.

THE COMMITTEE AGREED TO RESUME ITS DISCUSSION IN THIS SUBJECT AT ITS NEXT MEETING."

4. MISSION COMMENT. DEPARTMENT WILL RECALL THAT "EXISTING MANDATE" (TC/M(73)3) IS VERY BROAD. MORE-OVER, SECRETARIAT TOOK CAREFUL NOTE OF SUGGESTIONS MADE BY US AND OTHERS AND, ASSUMING ANALYTICAL TOOLS ARE EQUAL TO TASK, SECRETARIAT SHOULD HAVE AMPLE SCOPE FOR PRODUCING A USEFUL ANALYTICAL STUDY WHICH COULD CONTAIN THE SEEDS FOR GUIDELINES OR PRINCIPLES. AS

PRACTICAL MATTER, SECRETARIAT AND TCWP WILL HAVE DONE
WELL TO COMPLETE RESPECTABLE ANALYTICAL REPORT IN TIME
FOR NEXT TC MEETING SCHEDULED FOR END OCTOBER OR EARLY
NOVEMBER.
ROGERS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: TRADE, COMMODITIES, EXPORT CONTROLS, COMMITTEES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 29 JUN 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974OFCDP15967

Document Number: 1974OECDP15967 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Film Number: D740173-0419 From: OECD PARIS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t1974061/aaaaaaoc.tel Line Count: 228

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a

Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Page Count: 5

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: golinofr

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 22 MAR 2002

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 MAR 2002 by collinp0>; APPROVED <09 MAY 2002 by golinofr>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: OECD TRADE COMMITTEE (TC) DISCUSSION OF EXPORT CONTROLS JUNE 25-26

TAGS: ETRD, OECD

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005